I. Attendance

Charter A Institutions		A Institutions	Charter B Institutions
•	ADDU	• UPB	 ADNU (c/o UPLB)
•	ADMU	• UPD	 USI (c/o DLSU)
•	FEU (c/o UPD)	UPLB	
•	LPU	UPM	
•	PUP	 DLSU 	
•	SLU	• UC	
•	SBC	UPB	
•	UST		

II. Agenda

- 1. Last Minute Pull-outs
- 2. Guidelines for the Pull-up Rule
- 3. Eligibility of Walk-in Judges
- 4. Guidelines for Ratification of Dates for Nationals
- 5. Institution Break Cap
- 6. Ratification of Dates for NDC La Salle
- 7. PIDC 2017 AdjCore Elections

III. Discussion Proper

Agenda	Discussion	Resolution
1. Last Minute Pull- outs	Discuss about institutions that did not pay even after the nationals.	Motion: Institutions who completed the tournament but with outstanding balance after the
	Discussed need to sanction those who have balances.	national tournament will be banned from participating in the next nationals with the exemption of
	DLSU proposes prohibition from participating in the next nationals. Running tournaments is expensive	when the organizing committee has waived the sanction
	because the community is small.	UST seconds
		CHARTER A:
		In-favor: 15
		CHARTER B:
		In-favor: 2
		Resolution passes.
2. Pull-ups	Discussion A: In principle, should	Motion: The PDU shall change the





	31
there be a change in pull up system.	current pull-up system.
	CHARTER A:
	In favor: 13
	Not in favor: 1
	Abstain: 1
	Abstail. 1
	CHARTER B:
	In favor: 1
	Abstain 1:
	Motion passes.
Discussion B: What change should be	Motion: The first pull-up shall be
introduced?	meritocratic but a team may only be
	pulled-up once. In the instance a
ADMU: ADMU C was pulled up twice.	team is to be pulled up for a second
It's like punishing them for doing	time, a random team shall be pulled
well. That's how 3 tab functions. Only	up.
alternative is manual tabbing which	
makes it harder to tab. On the other	CHARTER A
hand, Tabbie cat has choices on who	In favor: 15
to pull up is available and it can also	
put a cap on the number of times a	CHARTER B
team can be pulled up. You can	In favor: 2
monitor the number of pull ups.	
	Motion passes.
UPM: Top of the bracket's skill is the	
nearest. Disadvantage to the team	
who will be randomly pulled up.	
Better to go with meritocratic.	
UPD: Go for random.	
ADDU: How big is the difference with	
the time?	
DLSU: Avoid unfortunate possibility	
that low performing teams will be	
pulled up.	
Decide if we should cap the number	



AdjCore asked to include in the agenda the plausibility of having walkin judges.

of times a team can be pulled up

Agendum dropped for lack of issue on the matter.







		ADMU: They don't pay. It will only a burden to the orgcom.	
		DLSU: They take opportunity to judge rounds.	
4.	Ratification of dates for the Nationals	UPD: Want a prescription period. Late ratification put a strain on organizing committee and preparations for the upcoming nationals.	Motion: There shall be a prescription period to exercise PDU's right to ratify the dates for Nationals.
		upcoming nationals.	CHARTER A
			In favor: 15
			CHARTER B
			In favor: 2
			Motion passes.
			Motion: NDC dates shall be ratified during PIDC.
			CHARTER A
			In favor: 15
			CHARTER B
			In favor: 2
			Motion passes.
			Motion: PIDC dates shall be ratified on or before the end of September.
			CHARTER A
			In favor: 14
			Not in favor: 1
			CHARTER B
			In favor: 2
			Motion passes.
5.	Institution Break Cap	UPLB: We should have an insti-break cap.	Motion: PDU shall institute a break cap in PIDC.
		UPD: Don't come to a vote/craft	CHARTER A
		policy now because it requires research etc.	Abstain: 15





			CHARTER B:
		DLSU: Form a commission on who'll	Abstain: 2
		research about the matter.	Abstain. 2
		research about the matter.	Motion fails.
		ADMU: Why does PIDC not have a	inotion juns.
		break cap? Include putting a number	
		on break cap in the discussion.	
		UPD: It's very difficult to break in	
		PIDC. Hence, no break cap because	
		there is a natural barrier of breaking.	
		ADMU: Hard to make decision right	
		now if we want to put a cap in PIDC.	
6.	Inquiry: Adj	ADMU calls for transparency as to	
	Allocation and	how it's mathematically possible to	
	Breaking Judges	still break/chair round despite being a	
		trainee in earlier rounds.	
		UPD clarifies it is a function of the tab	
		program.	
7.	Ratification of	DLSU: Optimal dates	Motion: PDU ratifies dates of NDC
	Dates for NDC La	17-22 December 2016. Final exams in	La Salle (17-22 December 2016)
	Salle	DLSU is from December 12-17, 2016	
		Other alternatives aren't optimal	
		because they can't have the full	CHARTER A:
		support of DLSU in other months	In favor: 15
		(e.g. October) and NDC will be	
		irrelevant if it would be in August.	CHARTER B:
			In favor: 2
		ADDU: Are there other possible dates	
		because other instis might not be	Motion passes.
		able to attend if it would be in	
		December?	
		DLSU: DLSU would have no space for	
		debate rooms until finals week.	
		However, 3 letters would be secured:	
		CHED, NYC, and President of DLSU	
		to still ensure participation.	
8.	PIDC 2017 AdjCore	UPD nominates Clinton Ronquillo	Clinton Ronquillo, Mike Agoncillo,
	Elections	(UPLB)	Leomar Doctolero, Stacy Conejero
			and Dan Mejes are ratified as
		UST nominates Leomar Doctolero	members of the PIDC 2017 AdjCore.
		(UPD)	, ,





UPM nominates Dan Mejes (DLSU)

DLSU nominates Stacy Conejero (UPM)

UPLB nominates Mike Agoncillo (ADMU)

Prepared by:

GINO LOUISE REICHERT

Communications and Social Media Officer

Noted by:

MIKEE KARINA DE VEGA

President



