



PHILIPPINE DEBATE UNION

The Association of Collegiate Debate Organizations in the Philippines

I. Attendance

Present		Not Present	
ADMU	UPB	ADDU	MSU-IIT
CSU	UPD	ADNU	PUP
DLSU	UPLB	ADZU	UNC
MC	UPM	BSU	UPC
MMSU	USC	BU	UPI
NSU	USI	DLSL	UPT
SBC	UST	FEU	UPV
SLU	XU	LPU	USJR
UC		MAAP	WMSU
		MSU	

II. Agenda

1. Ratification of PIDC 2016 Breaks

III. Discussion Proper

Agenda	Discussion	Resolution
1. Ratification of PIDC 2016 Breaks	<p>ADMU: How did tab work? A lot of trainees broke.</p> <p>Jose: Tab program has a formula. 1-5 system on 3Tab, 1-10 in manual. 2 points below automatic trainees. Adj test has diminishing value coupled with feedback. 100% round 1, 50% round 2, 25% round 3 onward. Matchups distributes chairs first then trainees. Possible when you remove adj test from the trainee grade, all their feedback bumped up. Perhaps that is why they were able to break.</p> <p>ADMU: If it's diminishing, then their adj test should've been very minimal by round 7.</p> <p>Avi: We have a standards for training. 6 above for chairs. We lowered threshold down to 4. Adjcore discretion. Significant number of Ateneo breaking judges with many Ateneo breaking teams. We had to pull up more judges.</p>	<p>Motion: The PIDC Breaks shall be ratified.</p> <p>Unanimous decision in favor.</p> <p><i>Motion passes.</i></p>



ADMU: Algorithm of the tab?

Avi: We didn't touch 3Tab at all.

ADMU: We elected AdjCore because we trust you guys to move around.

Avi: OrgComm only allowed us to move around if the judges had the same scores.

Jose: We'd like to raise a concern. It won't change breaks. An adj gave a score outside the tournament range during round 2. Tab team approached and lectured the person and she eventually gave grades within range. AdjCore was approached at night. Decision of AdjCore: we nullified scores of that person. We used score of new chair. We did not ask for the name of the judge or teams. If anyone wants to entertain the possibility of taking those scores? It doesn't affect the breaks. Was it fair for us to use the new chair's scores? Capacity of the person to use the range of the tournament was questionable. Round 1 was accepted because it was within the range. Possibility is minor shift within the ranking. If we removed round 1 scores, we could not release breaks last night. If we asked her to change her scores, it would have delayed the tournament. We made her a trainee after that.

Prepared by:

ANDRE MIKO ALAZAS
Adjudication Officer

Noted by:

MIKEE KARINA DE VEGA
President

